



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,767	02/19/2002	Jens Graf	10191/2246	7845
26646	7590	12/27/2004	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			NGUYEN, THAN VINH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2187	
DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT
----------

PAPER
-------

20041220

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Than Nguyen  
Examiner  
Art Unit: 2187

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/079,767	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GRAF ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Than Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2187	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/12/04 has been entered.
2. Claims 1-8 are pending.
3. The amendment, filed 9/21/04, has been entered.

#### ***Response to Amendment***

4. Applicant's amendment introduced new limitations to the claims. These limitations are addressed below. The Examiner has addressed the new limitations of writing the command and data independently to one another. The Examiner has also included motivation and rulings for the cited motivation to combine teachings in the 103 rejections.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2187

6. Claim 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Norman et al (US 6567335).

**As to claim 1:**

7. Norman discloses a memory system having a memory controller connected to multiple memory devices. Norman discloses the claimed memory arrangement comprising: a programmable memory (flash memory 38; 4/48-67); a first buffer memory associated with the programmable memory, to which first buffer memory, in the case of a command access, at least one command following the accessed command is written (command buffer 48 stores/buffers commands going to command decode logic; Fig. 4; 3/5-12, 5/60-67; claim 29,32); and a second buffer memory to which, in the case of a data access, at least one datum following the accessed datum is written (data buffer 52 stores data from memory array; Fig. 4; 6/7-12, 13-20, 25-30; claim 29,32). The commands going into buffer 48 and data entering buffer 52 are written independently of one another (data going to buffer 48 and 52 are independent of one another; Fig. 4; 5/56-6/20). Norman does not specifically teach the first buffer and second buffer is integrated in the flash memory. The courts also have found that forming a single integral element from multiple elements would be a matter of obvious engineering choice and would be obvious one of ordinary skills in the art (In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the first and second buffers in the flash memory of Norman.

**As to claim 2:**

1. Norman discloses the programmable memory includes a burst flash memory (flash memory 38; 4/48-67).

Art Unit: 2187

**As to claim 3,7,8:**

2. Norman teaches the second buffer memory is loaded only in the case of a data access (data input buffer 52 stores temporary data upon read; 6/7-12, 13-20,25-30; 8/40-50).

**As to claim 4:**

3. Norman teaches content of the first buffer memory (command input buffer 48-51) is not changed when the at least one datum is subsequently read from the second buffer memory (command buffer 48 and data buffer 52 not affected by one another (Figure 4).

**As to claims 5-6:**

4. Norman discloses a memory system having a memory controller connected to multiple memory devices. Norman discloses the claimed method for performing at least one of a command access and a data access during a program execution in connection with a programmable memory, comprising the steps of: recognizing in the case of a command access that a command access is present; recognizing in the case of a data access that a data access is present; writing a command following the accessed command to a first buffer memory; and writing a datum following the accessed datum to a second buffer memory (command input buffer 48 stores/buffers commands going to command decode logic; Fig. 4; 3/5-12, 5/60-67; claim 29,32; data buffer 52 stores data from memory array; Fig. 4; 6/7-12, 13-20, 25-30; claim 29). ). The commands going into buffer 48 and data entering buffer 52 are written independently of one another (data going to buffer 48 and 52 are independent of one another; Fig. 4; 5/56-6/20).

Norman does not specifically teach the first buffer and second buffer is integrated in the flash memory. It is well known in the art of memory design to integrate multiple devices onto a single

Art Unit: 2187


chip to provide for faster access, smaller footprint/size, and reduced cost. The courts also have found that forming a single integral element from multiple elements would be a matter of obvious engineering choice and would be obvious one of ordinary skills in the art (In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the first and second buffers in the flash memory of Norman.

### *Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Than Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4198. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-3pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571)272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Than Nguyen  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2187